

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/788,967	02/26/2004	Philip R. Swinehart	128321.101	5456
21269 75	90 01/24/2005		EXAMINER	
PEPPER HAM	<del></del>		SINES, E	RIAN J
ONE MELLON CENTER, 50TH FLOOR 500 GRANT STREET		₹	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PITTSBURGH,	PA 15219		1743	

DATE MAILED: 01/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				L			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/788,967	SWINEHART ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Brian J. Sines	1743				
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Faill Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the provision of the provision of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state of the provision	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a eply within the statutory minimum of thind will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO ute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	n.			
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10.	/25/2004.					
, — <u> </u>		nis action is non-final.					
3)□	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdred claim(s) <u>1-16 and 26-40</u> is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>17-25</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.					
,—	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) _ a		by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(	d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
а)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a life.	ents have been received. ents have been received in a riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage				
Attachmer		<b>Λ</b> □	Summany (DTO 442)				
2) Notic 3) Infor	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/788,967 Page 2

Art Unit: 1743

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

The election restriction election requirement has been withdrawn. The claims are rejoined for examination.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 17 – 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chatterjee et al. (US 5,961,930). Chatterjee et al. teach a microfluidic apparatus comprising: a flow path and a separation apparatus comprising a porous membrane or microfilter (see col. 5, lines 27 – 65). The Courts have held that apparatus claims must be structurally distinguishable from the prior art in terms of structure, not function. See *In re Danley*, 120 USPQ 528, 531 (CCPA 1959); and *Hewlett-Packard Co. V. Bausch and Lomb, Inc.*, 15 USPQ2d 1525, 1528 (Fed. Cir. 1990). The Courts have held that the manner of operating an apparatus does not differentiate an apparatus claim from the prior art, if the prior art apparatus teaches all of the structural limitations of the claim. See *Ex Parte Masham*, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (BPAI 1987) (see MPEP § 2114).

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1 - 16 and 26 - 40 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Southgate et al. (US 5,863,502) teach an apparatus for performing chemical reactions comprising a channel network and an associated heat exchange apparatus (see col. 22, lines 49 – 67). Chatterjee et al. (US 5,961,930) teach an integrated microfluidic apparatus, which is formed from multiple ceramic layers, and which is utilized for performing chemical reactions.

Regarding claims 1 and 26, the cited prior art neither teach nor fairly suggest the further incorporation of the one or more recited independently controlled heat exchangers.

Regarding claim 32, the cited prior art neither teach nor fairly suggest the methodology for manufacturing nanocrystals comprising the recited steps of: conditioning nanocrystal forming reagents in a flow path; and monitoring a detectable property of the nanocrystal product and including adjusting the operation the disclosed apparatus to maintain the detectable property of the nanocrystal product in a predetermined range.

Regarding claim 37, the cited prior art neither teach nor fairly suggest the recited methodology of purifying nanocrystals.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian J. Sines whose telephone number is (571) 272-1263. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (11 AM - 8 PM EST).

Application/Control Number: 10/788,967 Page 4

Art Unit: 1743

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill A. Warden can be reached on (571) 272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).